# **Antimicrobial Lock Therapy and Prophylaxis Practice Patterns: An Emerging Infections Network Survey**



<sup>1</sup>University of Iowa Carver College of Medicine, Iowa City, IA; <sup>2</sup>Bowman Gray School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC

# Abstract

**Background:** Instillation of an antimicrobial solution into a catheter lumen is used both to prevent and treat catheter-related bloodstream infections (CRBSI). Recent studies have shown that antimicrobial lock prophylaxis (ALP) reduces the risk of bloodstream infections in high-risk patient populations. Also, some evidence suggests that antimicrobial lock therapy (ALT) may be used to help clear CRBSI caused by certain organisms. However, limited clinical data from randomized studies are available, and little is known about how frequently antimicrobial locks are used. The purpose of this study was to gather data on clinical practices involving ALP/ALT use.

Methods: In September 2007, the IDSA EIN surveyed its 1084 members by fax or email to determine whether infectious diseases clinicians (IDCs) are using ALP, and, if so, what agents are used. Members were also asked if they attempted catheter salvage with tunneled or implanted CRBSI, and if so, whether they used ALT. Non responding members were sent two reminders in October

**Results:** Six hundred six of the 1094 members (56%) who received this query responded. 81% of respondents have never used ALP. ALP was most commonly used for long-term catheters, e.g., cuffed/tunneled, hemodialysis or ports (10% use ALP routinely and 69% under special circumstances). ALP was infrequently used for short-term central venous and PICC lines (1% use routinely and 22% under special circumstances). Among IDCs who use ALP, the most frequently used agents included vancomycin + heparin (46%), vancomycin alone (22%) and ethanol (9%). 61% routinely used anticoagulant agents along with ALP. A majority of respondents have attempted salvage of catheters infected with coagulasenegative staphylococci (87%), and ALT was used along with systemic therapy by 45% of those members. Vancomycin alone for 10-14 days with a dwell time of 6-12 hours was the most common ALT regimen among the many reported for this setting. Catheter salvage and ALT was less frequently attempted for the following organisms: S. aureus (50%, 47%), Enterococcus spp. (50%, 39%), Enterobacteriaceae (48%, 35%), Pseudomonas spp. (32%, 32%) and Acinetobacter spp. (28%, 23%). Both catheter salvage and ALT were rarely attempted for Candida infections (11%, 36%). Barriers to ALT use mentioned by IDCs included: lack of formal guidance/protocols with specific agents, dosing and duration; concern about compatibility of agents; and conflicts with other uses of catheters. **Conclusions:** ALP is practiced by a minority of respondents, who reported use of a wide variety of agents and concentrations. ALT is much more common, particularly for treatment of infections caused by coagulase-negative staphylococci, but little uniformity exists in the mechanics of therapy. Given the wide variation in clinical practice, the dearth of applicable data, and the urgency of the need to prevent and treat CRBSI, data from randomized controlled trials in a variety of patient populations are needed.

# Introduction

Antimicrobial lock prophylaxis and treatment involve instillation of an antimicrobial ±anticoagulant solution into a catheter lumen (antimicrobial lock) and leaving the solution to dwell. Guidelines from 2002 do not recommend routinely using antimicrobial lock solutions to prevent catheter-related bloodstream infections (CRBSI). Nonetheless, two recent metaanalyses concluded that use of a vancomycin-heparin lock solution reduces the risk of bloodstream infections in high-risk patient populations.

2001 guidelines for treatment of CRBSI recommend antimicrobial lock therapy for salvage of hemodialysis and tunneled/implanted catheters along with systemic therapy. Antimicrobial lock therapy may be used to treat uncomplicated CRBSI due to coagulase-negative staphylococci, *S. aureus* and Gram-negative bacilli using agents tailored to the pathogen's known susceptibilities. More recent *in vitro* data suggest that vancomycin (and linezolid) lacks activity against biofilm-embedded organisms, and that a variety of other agents including minocycline, daptomycin, tigecycline, ethanol and EDTA may be more efficacious in this setting.

The **primary goals of this survey** are to determine whether infectious diseases clinicians: use antimicrobial lock prophylaxis, and, if so, when and with what agents

• attempt catheter salvage with tunneled or implanted CRBSI, and, if so, whether antimicrobial lock therapy is used

### **Prophylaxis**

Regularly Selectively

[*Check all that apply*]

Cuffed or tunneled catheters (e.g., Groshong)  $\Box$ Hemodialysis catheters only (e.g., Permacath) Ports/implanted catheters Short-term central venous catheters PICC lines Other

(e.g., vancomycin + heparin qd to dwell for  $\geq 60$  min):

### 3b. Which antimicrobial(s) have you used for antimicrobial lock prophylaxis?

|  | Coi |
|--|-----|
| □ Vancomycin   |     |
| □ Gentamicin   |     |
| □ Ciprofloxacin  |     |
| □ Minocycline  |     |
| □ Tigecycline  |     |
| Daptomycin   |     |
| 🗆 Rifampin   |     |
| $\Box$ Amphotericin B                                  |     |
| $\Box$ Ethanol, specify %:                             |     |
| $\Box$ Other, <i>specify</i> :                         |     |
| 3c. Do you routinely use anticoa                       |     |
| $\square$ EDTA or citrate                              | 8   |
| □ Heparin  |     |
| 4. When you see breakthrough are the most common organ |     |
| 2.   |     |

### **Treatment of Tunneled or Implanted Catheter-Related BSI**

|                                   | Do yo  |
|-----------------------------------|--------|
|                                   | cathet |
| O                                 | ften H |
| oag-negative staph                |        |
| aureus                            |        |
| nterococcus spp.                  |        |
| seudomonas spp.                   |        |
| nterobacteriaceae (e.g., E. coli) |        |
| <i>cinetobacter</i> spp.          |        |
| <i>Candida</i> spp.               |        |

| Coag-negative staph _           |  |
|---------------------------------|--|
| S. aureus                       |  |
| Pseudomonas spp.                |  |
| <i>Candida</i> spp.             |  |
| Other1 [specify below]          |  |
| Other2 [specify below]          |  |
| Other3 [ <i>specify below</i> ] |  |
|                                 |  |

7. All agree we need prospective controlled trials for both prophylaxis and treatment using lock solutions. In the meantime, please share critical incidents, data, and additional issues:

Philip M. Polgreen<sup>1</sup>, Susan E. Beekmann<sup>1</sup>, Daniel J. Diekema<sup>1</sup>, Robert J. Sherertz<sup>2</sup> and the Infectious Diseases Society of America's Emerging Infections Network



ALP is practiced by a minority of respondents, who reported use of a wide variety of agents and concentrations. ALT is much more common, particularly for treatment of infections caused by coagulase-negative staphylococci, but little uniformity exists in the mechanics of therapy.

randomized controlled trials in a variety of patient populations are needed.

# Section 2 Antimicrobial Lock Treatment (ALT) Table 1.

Summary for 387 members responding to Section 2 questions, No. (%)

|  | Attempt catheter salvage? | If yes, do you<br>use ALT?* |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Any organism                               | 344 (89)                  | 168 (49)                    |
| Coag-negative staph only                   | 336 (87)                  | 150 (45)                    |
| At least 1 other (non-CoNS) organis        | m 270 (70)                | 127 (47)                    |
| S. aureus                                  | 194 (50)                  | 91 (47)                     |
| Enterococcus spp.                          | 195 (50)                  | 76 (39)                     |
| Enterobacteriaceae (e.g., <i>E. coli</i> ) | 186 (48)                  | 65 (35)                     |
| <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.                    | 123 (32)                  | 39 (32)                     |
| <i>Acinetobacter</i> spp.                  | 108 (28)                  | 25 (23)                     |
| <i>Candida</i> spp.                        | 44 (11)                   | 16 (36)                     |

\*Denominator for this column is the number who attempt catheter salvage (1st column)

# Table 2.

## Antimicrobial Lock Treatment (ALT) Agents used for Treatment

| Coagulase-negative staphylococci [171 members respo            | nded]     |
|--|-----------|
| Vancomycin alone   | 133 (78%) |
| Vancomycin + heparin   | 13 (8%)   |
| Vancomycin + another antimicrobial*                            | 6 (4%)    |
| Ethanol or ethanol + heparin                                   | 5 (3%)    |
| Vancomycin +/- gentamicin                                      | 4 (2%)    |
| Daptomycin   | 4 (2%)    |
| Vancomycin or cefazolin  | 2 (1%)    |
| Other (by 1 member each)                                       | 6 (4%)    |
| *oral or IV rifampin, cefazolin, gentamicin, ethanol, minocycl | ine       |
| S. aureus [99 members responded]                               |           |
| Vancomycin   | 68 (68%)  |
| Vancomycin or cefazolin  | 8 (8%)    |
| Vancomycin + heparin   | 7 (7%)    |
| Cefazolin  | 4 (4%)    |
| Ethanol  | 3 (3%)    |
| Beta lactams when possible, otherwise vancomycin               | 2 (2%)    |
| Other (by 1 member each)                                       | 7 (7%)    |
| Pseudomonas spp. [38 members responded]                        |           |
| An aminoglycoside (primarily gentamicin)                       | 19 (50%)  |
| Cipro or gentamicin  | 5 (Ì3%)   |
| Ceftazidime  | 4 (11%)   |
| Ethanol  | 2 (5%)    |
| Ciprofloxacin  | 2 (5%)    |
| Other (by 1 member each)                                       | 6 (16%́)  |
| Candida spp. [11 members responded]                            |           |
| Amphotericin   | 7 (64%)   |
| Ethanol  | 2 (18%)   |
| Ambisome   | 1 (9%)    |
| Fluconazole  | 1 (9%)    |
|  |           |

Given the wide variation in clinical practice, the dearth of applicable data, and the urgency of the need to prevent and treat CRBSI, data from